



Restructuring & Insolvency

in 52 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Bruce Leonard

2013



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC
Bloomfield Advocates & Solicitors
Bofill Mir & Alvarez Jana
Bowman Gilfillan
Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP
Chancery Chambers
Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth
CMS Rui Pena & Arnaut
Dundas & Wilson
Felsberg, Pedretti e Mannrich Advogados e
Consultores Legais
Forsyth Abogados
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández
J Sagar Associates
Kinstellar
Kleyr Grasso Associés
KNP LAW Nagy Koppany Varga and Partners
Law Firm Varul
Lipman Karas
Logos Legal Services
Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac Law Firm LLC
Motieka & Audzevicius
O'Melveny & Myers
Oscós Abogados
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co
Patton Moreno & Asvat
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
PotamitisVekris
SCP Miriana Mircov Relicons SPRL
Setterwalls
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan
Taxgroup Pravno Svetovanje d.o.o.
Vasil Kisil & Partners
Walder Wyss Ltd
Waselius & Wist
Weerawong, Chinnavat & Peangpanor Ltd
WongPartnership LLP
Yoon & Yang LLC
Zaid Ibrahim & Co

Restructuring & Insolvency 2013

Contributing editor

Bruce Leonard
Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP

Business development managers

Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Robyn Horsefield
Dan White

Marketing manager

Rachel Nurse

Marketing assistants

Megan Friedman
Zosia Demkowicz
Cady Atkinson
Robin Synnot

Administrative assistants

Parween Bains
Sophie Hickey

Marketing manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse
subscriptions@
gettingthedealthrough.com

Assistant editor

Adam Myers

Editorial assistant

Lydia Gerges

Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

Senior subeditor

Caroline Rawson

Subeditor

Anna Andreoli

Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

Publisher

Richard Davey

Restructuring & Insolvency 2013

Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
© Law Business Research Ltd
2012

No photocopying: copyright
licences do not apply.

ISSN 1468-3180

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of November 2012, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

Law

Business

Research

Global Overview James Watson <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i> and Alan W Kornberg and Claudia R Tobler <i>Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP</i>	3
Australia Scott Foreman and Lucas Arnold <i>Lipman Karas</i>	4
Austria Friedrich Jergtsch, Michael Raninger and Florian Knotzer <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	16
Bahrain Hamek S Shoker <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	25
Barbados Trevor Carmichael and Lauren McIntosh <i>Chancery Chambers</i>	32
Belgium Geert Verhoeven and Wim Dedeker <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	38
Botswana Kwadwo Osei-Ofei <i>Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co</i>	49
Brazil Thomas Benes Felsberg, Paulo Fernando Campana Filho and Bruno Kurzweil de Oliveira <i>Felsberg, Pedretti e Mannrich Advogados e Consultores Legais</i>	54
Canada E Bruce Leonard, David S Ward, Larry C Ellis and Kelly A Gerra <i>Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP</i>	66
Cayman Islands Graham F Ritchie QC and Rebecca Hume <i>Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth</i>	74
Chile Octavio Bofill, Jorge Lembeyle, Edder Cifuentes and Andrea Alarcón <i>Bofill Mir & Alvarez Jana</i>	83
China Melissa Thomas and Christian Zeppezauer <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	91
Croatia Vladimir Mamić and Goran Jujnović <i>Lučić Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac Law Firm LLC</i>	100
Cyprus Maria Kyriacou and Elias Neocleous <i>Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC</i>	107
Czech Republic Růžena Trojánková and Tomáš Osička <i>Kinstellar</i>	115
Dominican Republic Mary Fernández and Hipólito García <i>Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández</i>	125
England & Wales Ken Baird, Catherine Balmond and Victoria Cromwell <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	131
Estonia Paul Varul and Peeter Viirsalu <i>Law Firm Varul</i>	147
European Union Catherine Balmond and Ben Silver <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	155
Finland Lauri Peltola and Timo Lehtimäki <i>Waselius & Wist</i>	164
France Emmanuel Ringeval, Alan Mason and Nicolas Morelli <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	170
Germany Franz Aleth <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	181
Greece Stathis Potamitis, Ioannis Kontoulas and Eleana Nounou <i>PotamitisVekris</i>	198
Hong Kong Mark Fairbairn, Andrew Payne and Dirk Behnsen <i>O'Melveny & Myers</i>	206
Hungary Kornélia Nagy-Koppány, Abigél Csúrdi and Éva Németh <i>KNP LAW Nagy Koppány Varga and Partners</i>	216
Iceland Erlendur Gíslason, Ólafur Eiríksson, Heiðar Ásberg Atlason and Heiðar Örn Stefánsson <i>Logos Legal Services</i>	225
India Sandeep Mehta <i>J Sagar Associates</i>	234
Italy Raffaele Lener and Giovanna Vigliotti <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	245
Japan Kazuki Okada and Shinsuke Kobayashi <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	263
Korea Sang Goo Han, Hyung Bae Park and Yoori Choi <i>Yoon & Yang LLC</i>	278
Lithuania Emil Radzihevsky, Giedrius Kolesnikovas and Beata Kozubovska <i>Motieka & Audzevicius</i>	286
Luxembourg Marc Kleyr <i>Kleyr Grasso Associés</i>	297
Malaysia Peh Lee Kheng, Khoo Kay Ping and Effendy bin Othman <i>Zaid Ibrahim & Co</i>	308
Mexico Darío U Oscós C and Darío A Oscós Rueda <i>Oscós Abogados</i>	321
Netherlands Michael Broeders <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	332
Nigeria Dayo Adu <i>Bloomfield Advocates & Solicitors</i>	342
Panama Ivette E Martínez S <i>Patton Moreno & Asvat</i>	349
Peru Guillermo Auler <i>Forsyth Abogados</i>	358
Philippines Ricardo Ma PG Ongkiko, Anthony W Dee and Russel L Rodriguez <i>SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan</i>	367
Portugal Nuno Pena, Joaquim Shearman de Macedo and Andreia Moreira <i>CMS Rui Pena & Arnaut</i>	379
Romania Lavinia Olivia Iancu <i>SCP Miriana Mircov Relicons SPRL</i>	390
Russia Dmitry Surikov, Maria Zaitseva and Svetlana Tolstoukhova <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	399
Scotland Claire Massie <i>Dundas & Wilson</i>	412
Singapore Sean Yu Chou, Manoj Pillay Sandrasegara and Mark Choy <i>WongPartnership LLP</i>	426
Slovenia Miha Mušič and Vladka Plohl <i>Taxgroup Pravno Svetovanje d.o.o.</i>	434
South Africa Claire van Zuylen <i>Bowman Gilfillan</i>	443
Spain Eduard Arruga and Natalia Gómez <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	454
Sweden Odd Swarting and Ellinor Rettig <i>Setterwalls</i>	464
Switzerland Christoph Stäubli <i>Walder Wyss Ltd</i>	473
Thailand Rapinnart Prongsirwattana, Suntus Kirdsinsap and Bongkarn Jiraboonsri <i>Weerawong, Chinnavat & Peangpanor Ltd</i>	486
Ukraine Yaroslav Teklyuk and Valeriia Tryfonova <i>Vasil Kisil & Partners</i>	493
United Arab Emirates Pervez Akhtar, William Coleman and Jane Sohn <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	508
United States Alan W Kornberg and Claudia R Tobler <i>Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP</i>	517
Quick Reference Tables	526

Philippines

Ricardo Ma PG Ongkiko, Anthony W Dee and Russel L Rodriguez

SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan

1 Legislation

What legislation is applicable to insolvencies and reorganisations?
What criteria are applied in your country to determine if a debtor is insolvent?

The applicable law is Republic Act No. 10142, known as the Financial Rehabilitation and Insolvency Act of 2010 (FRIA). The FRIA took effect on 18 July 2010.

A debtor is considered insolvent if it is unable to pay its liabilities as they fall due in the ordinary course of business or has liabilities that are greater than its assets (FRIA section 4(p)).

2 Courts

What courts are involved in the insolvency process? Are there restrictions on the matters that the courts may deal with?

The Supreme Court has yet to designate the court or courts that will hear and resolve cases brought under the FRIA. In previous Supreme Court rulings, however, the regional trial courts were given jurisdiction over corporate rehabilitation and liquidation proceedings. This is consistent with the regional trial courts' authority as courts of general jurisdiction. In the absence of designated courts, cases under the FRIA are usually assigned to regional trial courts designated as commercial courts.

Furthermore, the Supreme Court has yet to promulgate the rules of procedure to govern the proceedings brought under the FRIA.

3 Excluded entities and excluded assets

What entities are excluded from customary insolvency proceedings and what legislation applies to them? What assets are excluded from insolvency proceedings or are exempt from claims of creditors?

Banks, insurance companies and pre-need companies are not covered by the FRIA. The rehabilitation of banks, insurance companies and pre-need companies is governed by Republic Act No. 7653 (the New Central Bank Act), Presidential Decree No. 612 (Insurance Code of the Philippines) and Republic Act No. 9829 (Pre-Need Code of the Philippines), respectively (FRIA section 5).

The following assets are excluded from insolvency proceedings and are exempt from execution:

- the insolvent debtor's family home as provided by law, or the homestead in which he or she resides, and land necessarily used in connection therewith;
- ordinary tools and implements personally used by him or her in his or her trade, employment or livelihood;
- three horses, or three cows, or three carabaos or other beasts of burden at the option of the judgment obligor as may be necessary in his ordinary occupation;
- his necessary clothing and articles for ordinary personal use, excluding jewelry;
- household furniture and utensils necessary for housekeeping, and used for that purpose by the judgment obligor and his family,

such as the judgment obligor may select, of a value not exceeding 100,000 Philippine pesos;

- provisions for individual or family use sufficient for four months;
- the professional libraries and equipment of judges, lawyers, physicians, pharmacists, dentists, engineers, surveyors, clergymen, teachers and other professionals, not exceeding 300,000 Philippine pesos in value;
- one fishing boat and accessories not exceeding the total value of 100,000 Philippine pesos owned by a fisherman and by the lawful use of which he or she earns his livelihood;
- as much of the salaries, wages or earnings of the insolvent debtor for his or her personal services within the four months preceding the levy as are necessary for the support of his or her family;
- lettered gravestones;
- monies, benefits, privileges or annuities accruing or in any manner growing out of any life insurance;
- the right to receive legal support, or money or property obtained as such support, or any pension or gratuity from the government; and
- properties specially exempt by law (Rules of Court rule 39 section 13; FRIA section 130).

4 Secured lending and credit (immoveables)

What principal types of security are taken on immoveable (real) property?

The principal type of security taken over immoveable property is the real estate mortgage.

5 Secured lending and credit (moveables)

What principal types of security are taken on moveable (personal) property?

The principal types of security taken over personal property are the chattel mortgage and the pledge.

6 Unsecured credit

What remedies are available to unsecured creditors? Are the processes difficult or time-consuming? Are pre-judgment attachments available? Do any special procedures apply to foreign creditors?

An unsecured creditor must file a civil action in court for collection of the debt. At the commencement of the action, or at any time prior to the judgment, the creditor may apply for the issuance of a writ of preliminary attachment on the debtor's assets, but only on limited specified grounds (eg, if the debtor is about to leave the Philippines with the intent to defraud creditors, or is guilty of fraud in contracting or performing the obligation in regards to which the action is brought).

Debt-collection suits are often protracted and expensive. It may take several years before a judgment is rendered, and the judgment of the trial court can be appealed all the way to the Supreme Court.

Foreign corporations doing business here are required to obtain the appropriate licence. Otherwise, they will not be allowed to initiate or intervene in any judicial action in the Philippines. However, a foreign corporation is allowed to sue even without a licence on an isolated transaction.

7 Voluntary liquidations

What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a voluntary liquidation case and what are the effects?

Voluntary liquidation is initiated by an insolvent debtor via a verified petition, or a verified motion in a court-supervised or pre-negotiated rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 90).

If the petition or motion is meritorious, the court will issue a liquidation order, which shall, among other things:

- declare the debtor insolvent;
- order the liquidation of the debtor and, in the case of a juridical debtor, declare it as dissolved;
- order the sheriff to take possession and control of all the property of the debtor, except those that may be exempt from execution;
- direct payments of any claims and conveyance of any property due the debtor to the liquidator;
- prohibit payments by the debtor and the transfer of any property by the debtor;
- direct all creditors to file their claims with the liquidator within the period set by the rules of procedure; and
- set the case for hearing for the election and appointment of the liquidator (FRIA section 112).

8 Involuntary liquidations

What are the requirements for creditors placing a debtor into involuntary liquidation and what are the effects?

Three or more creditors with an aggregate claim of at least 1 million Philippine pesos or at least 25 per cent of the subscribed capital stock or partners' contributions, whichever is higher, may initiate liquidation proceedings against an insolvent debtor by filing a verified petition for liquidation with the court. The petition must show that:

- there is no genuine issue of fact or law in the claim of the petitioner, and that the due and demandable payments thereon have not been made for at least 180 days or that the debtor has failed generally to meet its liabilities as they fall due; and
- there is no substantial likelihood that the debtor may be rehabilitated (FRIA section 91).

Rehabilitation proceedings may also be converted into liquidation proceedings:

- when the rehabilitation court finds that the debtor is insolvent and there is no substantial likelihood for the debtor to be successfully rehabilitated;
- when the rehabilitation plan is not confirmed by the rehabilitation court within one year from filing of the petition;
- when the rehabilitation proceedings is terminated due to failure of rehabilitation or dismissal of the rehabilitation petition for reasons other than technical grounds; or
- at any time during the pendency of or after court-supervised or pre-negotiated rehabilitation proceedings, upon motion of the debtor or of three or more creditors whose claims are at least either 1 million Philippine pesos or at least 25 per cent of the subscribed capital stock or of the debtor's contribution in the partnership, whichever is higher (FRIA section 91).

As in cases of voluntary liquidations, the court will issue a liquidation order if the petition or motion is meritorious (FRIA section 112).

9 Voluntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a formal financial reorganisation and what are the effects?

Court-supervised rehabilitation

An insolvent debtor may file a verified petition for rehabilitation when approved by the owner in the case of a sole proprietorship, or by a majority of the partners in the case of a partnership or, in the case of a corporation, by a majority vote of the board of directors or trustees and authorised by the vote of the stockholders representing at least two-thirds of the outstanding capital stock, or of the members, in a stockholders' or members' meeting duly called for the purpose. The petition must establish the insolvency of the debtor and the viability of its rehabilitation (FRIA section 12).

If the court finds the petition to be sufficient in form and substance, it will issue a commencement order, which shall, among other things:

- appoint a rehabilitation receiver;
- summarise the requirements and deadlines for creditors to establish their claims against the debtor;
- prohibit suppliers of goods or services from withholding supply for as long as the debtor makes prompt payments;
- prohibit the debtor from making any payment of its outstanding liabilities; and
- issue a stay or suspension order (FRIA section 16).

The commencement order also:

- prohibits and renders null and void extrajudicial process or activity to seize property, sell encumbered property or otherwise attempt to collect on or enforce a claim against the debtor, after the commencement date, unless otherwise allowed under the FRIA;
- renders null and void any set-off, after the commencement date, of any debt owed to the debtor by any of the debtor's creditors; and
- renders null and void the perfection, after the commencement date, of any lien against the debtor's properties (FRIA section 17).

Imposition of national and local taxes and fees, penalties and surcharges are also waived from issuance of the commencement order until approval of the rehabilitation plan or dismissal of the petition (FRIA section 19).

Pre-negotiated rehabilitation

An insolvent debtor, by itself or jointly with any of its creditors, may file a verified petition with the court for the approval of a pre-negotiated rehabilitation plan, endorsed or approved by creditors holding at least two-thirds of the total liabilities of the debtor, including secured creditors holding more than 50 per cent of the total secured claims and unsecured creditors holding more than 50 per cent of the total unsecured claims (FRIA section 76).

If the court finds the petition to be sufficient in form and substance, it will issue an order, which shall, among other things:

- declare that the debtor is under rehabilitation;
- appoint a rehabilitation receiver, if provided under the plan; and
- issue a stay or suspension order (FRIA section 77).

Out-of-court or informal restructuring/workout agreement or rehabilitation plan

This may be done if:

- the debtor agrees to the out-of-court or informal restructuring/workout agreement or rehabilitation plan; and
- the agreement or plan is approved by:
 - creditors representing at least 67 per cent of the secured obligations;

- creditors representing at least 75 per cent of the unsecured obligations; and
- creditors holding at least 85 per cent of the total liabilities, secured and unsecured (FRIA section 84).

10 Involuntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for creditors commencing an involuntary reorganisation and what are the effects?

Any creditor or group of creditors with a claim of, or the aggregate of whose claims is, at least 1 million Philippine pesos or at least 25 per cent of the subscribed capital stock or partners' contributions, whichever is higher, may initiate involuntary rehabilitation proceedings against the debtor by filing a verified petition for rehabilitation with the court if:

- there is no genuine issue of fact or law in the claim of the petitioner and that the due and demandable payments thereon have not been made for at least 60 days or that the debtor has failed generally to meet its liabilities as they fall due; or
- a creditor, other than the petitioner, has initiated foreclosure proceedings against the debtor that will prevent the debtor from paying its debts as they become due or will render it insolvent (FRIA section 13).

Similarly to a court-supervised voluntary rehabilitation, the court will issue a commencement order if the petition is sufficient in form and substance (FRIA section 15).

11 Mandatory commencement of insolvency proceedings

Are companies required to commence insolvency proceedings in particular circumstances? If proceedings are not commenced, what liabilities can result?

There are no instances where companies are required to commence insolvency proceedings under the FRIA.

12 Doing business in reorganisations

Under what conditions can the debtor carry on business during a reorganisation? What conditions apply to the use or sale of the assets of the business? Is any special treatment given to creditors who supply goods or services after the filing? What are the roles of the creditors and the court in supervising the debtor's business activities?

The debtor may carry on its ordinary business during the rehabilitation, and suppliers of goods or services are prohibited from withholding supply to the debtor for as long as the debtor makes prompt payments (FRIA section 16). For purposes of continuing the business, the management of the debtor shall remain with the existing management, subject to applicable laws and agreements, if any, on the election or appointment of directors, managers or managing partner. However, all disbursements, payments or sale, disposal, assignment, transfer or encumbrance of property, or any other act affecting title or interest in property, will be subject to the approval of the rehabilitation receiver and/or the court (see discussion in question 16 on sale of assets) (FRIA section 47).

The court may, upon motion of any interested party, appoint and direct the rehabilitation receiver or a management committee to assume the powers of management of the debtor if:

- there is actual or imminent danger of dissipation, loss, wastage or destruction of the debtor's assets or other properties;
- business operations of the debtor are paralysed; or
- there is gross mismanagement of the debtor, or fraud or other wrongful conduct on the part of, or gross or wilful violation of the FRIA by, existing management of the debtor or the owner, partner, director, officer or representative in management of the debtor (FRIA section 36).

13 Stays of proceedings and moratoria

What prohibitions against the continuation of legal proceedings or the enforcement of claims by creditors apply in liquidations and reorganisations? In what circumstances may creditors obtain relief from such prohibitions?

The commencement order in rehabilitation proceedings includes a stay or suspension order that suspends all actions or proceedings, in court or otherwise, for the enforcement of claims against the debtor and suspends all actions to enforce any judgment, attachment or other provisional remedies against the debtor (FRIA section 16(q)). Attempts to seek legal or other recourse against the debtor outside the rehabilitation proceedings shall be sufficient to support a finding of indirect contempt of court (FRIA section 17).

The court, upon motion or recommendation of the rehabilitation receiver, may allow a secured creditor to enforce his security or lien, or foreclose upon property of the debtor securing its claim, if the said property is not necessary for the rehabilitation of the debtor (FRIA section 60). The court, on motion or *motu proprio* (ie, on its own), may also terminate, modify or set conditions for the continuance of suspension of payment, or relieve a claim from the coverage thereof, upon showing that:

- a creditor does not have adequate protection over property securing its claim; or
- the value of a claim secured by a lien, on property which is not necessary for rehabilitation of the debtor, exceeds the fair market value of the said property (FRIA section 61).

In liquidation proceedings, no separate action for the collection of an unsecured claim is allowed after the issuance of the liquidation order. Pending actions will be transferred to the liquidator for him to accept and settle or contest. If the liquidator contests or disputes the claim, the court will allow, hear and resolve such contest except when the case is already on appeal. In such a case, the suit may proceed to judgment, and any final and executory judgment therein for a claim against the debtor shall be filed and allowed in the court. Furthermore, no foreclosure proceeding is allowed for a period of 180 days from issuance of the liquidation order (FRIA section 113).

The FRIA provides that the liquidation order shall not affect the right of a secured creditor to enforce his lien in accordance with the applicable contract or law (FRIA section 114).

14 Post-filing credit

May a debtor in a liquidation or reorganisation obtain secured or unsecured loans or credit? What priority is given to such loans or credit?

As regards liquidation, there is no specific provision in the FRIA permitting or prohibiting the debtor from obtaining secured or unsecured loans or credit. However, sections 113 (on effects of the liquidation order) and 119 (on the powers, duties and responsibilities of the liquidator) of the FRIA appear to imply that a debtor in liquidation may not obtain such loans or credit. According to section 113, the juridical debtor shall be deemed dissolved upon the issuance of the liquidation order. Furthermore, the section states that legal title to and control of all the assets of the debtor, except those that may be exempt from execution, shall be deemed vested in the liquidator or, pending his election or appointment, with the court. Section 119 does not expressly authorise the liquidator to obtain such loans or credit.

With respect to rehabilitation, section 55 of the FRIA provides that a debtor may perform the following acts in order to enhance its rehabilitation:

- enter into credit arrangements;
- enter into credit arrangements, secured by mortgages of its unencumbered property or secondary mortgages of encumbered property with the approval of senior secured parties with regard to the encumbered property; or
- incur other obligations as may be essential to rehabilitation.

The debtor may do these with the approval of the court and upon the recommendation of the rehabilitation receiver.

Section 55 of the FRIA further states that the payment of the foregoing obligations shall be considered administrative expenses. On the other hand, section 16(l) of the FRIA states that the commencement order shall authorise the payment of administrative expenses as they become due. Consequently, the credit arrangements or obligations obtained by the debtor under section 55 of the FRIA may be said to be given priority to the extent that they are to be paid as they become due.

15 Set-off and netting

To what extent are creditors able to exercise rights of set-off or netting in a liquidation or in a reorganisation? Can creditors be deprived of the right of set-off either temporarily or permanently?

With respect to liquidation, the FRIA provides that a debt shall be offset against another debt if the insolvent debtor and a creditor are mutually debtor and creditor of each other (section 124). The liquidation proceedings shall only include the balance, if any, of the set-off.

As regards court-supervised rehabilitation, it appears that the right of set-off may be exercised only prior to the commencement of the rehabilitation proceedings. The issuance by the court of a commencement order shall serve as the legal basis for rendering null and void any set-off after the commencement date of the rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 17(c)). The commencement date of the rehabilitation proceedings refers to the date on which the court issues the commencement order, which, however, shall be retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition for voluntary or involuntary proceedings (FRIA section 4(b)). In this connection, under the old law, the stay order was not expressly given any retroactive effect.

16 Sale of assets

In reorganisations and liquidations, what provisions apply to the sale of specific assets out of the ordinary course of business and to the sale of the entire business of the debtor? Does the purchaser acquire the assets 'free and clear' of claims or do some liabilities pass with the assets? In practice, does your system allow for 'stalking horse' bids in sale procedures and does your system permit credit bidding in sales?

In rehabilitation, the debtor may use or dispose of funds or property in the ordinary course of business or if necessary to finance the administrative expenses of the rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 48).

Outside the ordinary course of business, unencumbered assets of the debtor may be sold but only with court authorisation, upon application of the rehabilitation receiver. The application must show that the property, by its nature or because of other circumstance, is perishable, costly to maintain, susceptible to devaluation or otherwise in jeopardy (FRIA section 49). The court may also authorise the sale of unencumbered assets:

- if such are in the interest of administering the debtor and facilitating the preparation and implementation of a rehabilitation plan;
- in order to provide a substitute lien, mortgage or pledge of property under the FRIA;
- for payments made to meet administrative expenses as they arise;
- for payments to victims of quasi-delicts (ie, torts) upon a showing that the claim is valid and the debtor has insurance to reimburse the debtor for the payments made;
- for payments made to repurchase property of the debtor that is auctioned off in a judicial or extrajudicial sale under the FRIA; or
- for payments made to reclaim property of the debtor held pursuant to a possessory lien (FRIA section 52).

Meanwhile, sale of encumbered property of the debtor, or property of others held by the debtor, where there is a security interest pertaining to third parties under a financial, credit or other similar transactions may be done with court authorisation, upon application of the rehabilitation receiver, with the consent of the affected owners of the property or secured creditors, and after notice and hearing. The court must determine that:

- such sale, transfer, conveyance or disposal is necessary for the continued operation of the debtor's business; and
- the debtor has made arrangements to provide a substitute lien or ownership right that provides an equal level of security for the counterparty's claim or right (FRIA section 50).

In liquidation, the liquidator may sell the unencumbered assets of the debtor and convert the same into money. The sale shall be made at public auction. However, a private sale may be allowed with the approval of the court if:

- the goods to be sold are of a perishable nature, or are liable to quickly deteriorate in value, or are disproportionately expensive to keep or maintain; or
- the private sale is in the best interests of the debtor and his creditors.

With the approval of the court, unencumbered property of the debtor may also be conveyed to a creditor in satisfaction of his claim or part thereof (FRIA section 131).

17 Intellectual property assets in insolvencies

May an IP licensor or owner terminate the debtor's right to use it when an insolvency case is opened? To what extent may an insolvency administrator continue to use IP rights granted under an agreement with the debtor? May an insolvency representative terminate a debtor's agreement with a licensor or owner and continue to use the IP for the benefit of the estate?

The authority of the IP licensor or owner to terminate the debtor's right to use it when an insolvency case is opened shall depend on the terms and conditions of their contract, subject to the stay order, which, as discussed above, appears to have been made retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition for voluntary or involuntary proceedings.

Thus, the contract between the IP licensor or owner and the debtor will be subject to the provisions of the FRIA relating to contracts of the debtor. In rehabilitation proceedings, the debtor may choose to confirm a contract within 90 days following the commencement of the rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 57). The contracts which are not confirmed within the said period shall be deemed terminated (FRIA section 57). It appears that the debtor may continue using the IP rights only if the licence contract is confirmed. The debtor and the IP licensor or owner shall respect the terms and conditions of the confirmed licence contract (FRIA section 62(q)).

In liquidation, all contracts of the debtor shall be deemed terminated and/or breached upon the issuance of a liquidation order, unless the liquidator, within 90 days from the date of his assumption of office, declares otherwise and that the contracting party agrees (FRIA section 113). As such, the debtor may continue using the IP rights only if the licence contract is declared valid and subsisting by the liquidator within the said 90-day period and the IP licensor or owner agrees.

The right of the debtor to use the IP is based on contract. The termination of the licence contract will thus extinguish the right of the debtor to use the IP.

18 Rejection and disclaimer of contracts in reorganisations

Can a debtor undergoing a reorganisation reject or disclaim an unfavourable contract? Are there contracts that may not be rejected? What procedure is followed to reject a contract and what is the effect of rejection on the other party?

Yes, a debtor can reject an unfavourable contract by not confirming the contract in a rehabilitation proceeding. All contracts not confirmed by the debtor, with the consent of the rehabilitation receiver, within 90 days following the commencement of the rehabilitation proceedings, are considered terminated. Claims for actual damages, if any, arising as a result of the election to terminate a contract shall be considered a pre-commencement claim against the debtor (FRIA section 57).

19 Arbitration processes in insolvency cases

How frequently is arbitration used in insolvency proceedings? What limitations are there on the availability of arbitration in insolvency cases? Will the court allow arbitration proceedings to continue after an insolvency case is opened?

Under the old law, arbitration was generally not used in insolvency proceedings.

However, under the FRIA, with respect to court-supervised rehabilitation, any dispute involving the rehabilitation plan or the rehabilitation proceedings may be referred by the court to arbitration or other dispute-resolution mechanisms (FRIA section 26). The court shall first determine whether arbitration or other dispute-resolution mechanisms will resolve the dispute more quickly, fairly and efficiently than the court (FRIA section 26).

As regards liquidation, the FRIA does not specifically provide for the referral of a dispute involving the liquidation plan or the liquidation proceedings to arbitration or other dispute resolution mechanisms.

Unless the rehabilitation or liquidation court allows arbitration proceedings to continue, the arbitration proceedings shall be subject to the stay or suspension order.

20 Successful reorganisations

What features are mandatory in a reorganisation plan? How are creditors classified for purposes of a plan and how is the plan approved? Can a reorganisation plan release non-debtor parties from liability, and, if so, in what circumstances?

Mandatory features of a rehabilitation plan

According to section 62 of the FRIA, a rehabilitation plan must contain the following minimum features:

- specify the underlying assumptions, the financial goals and the procedures proposed to accomplish such goals;
- compare the amounts expected to be received by the creditors under the rehabilitation plan with those that they will receive if liquidation ensues within the next 120 days;
- contain information sufficient to give the various classes of creditors a reasonable basis for determining whether supporting the plan is in their financial interest when compared to the immediate liquidation of the debtor, including any reduction of principal interest and penalties payable to the creditors;
- establish classes of voting creditors;
- establish subclasses of voting creditors if prior approval has been granted by the court;
- indicate how the insolvent debtor will be rehabilitated including, but not limited to, debt forgiveness, debt rescheduling, reorganisation or quasi-reorganisation, dacion en pago (ie, payment by assignment of property), debt-equity conversion and sale of the business (or parts of it) as a going concern, or setting-up of a new business entity or other similar arrangements as may be necessary to restore the financial well-being and viability of the insolvent debtor;

- specify the treatment of each class or subclass of voting creditors;
- provide for equal treatment of all claims within the same class or subclass, unless a particular creditor voluntarily agrees to less favourable treatment;
- ensure that the payments made under the plan follow the priority established under the provisions of the Civil Code on concurrence and preference of credits and other applicable laws;
- maintain the security interest of secured creditors and preserve the liquidation value of the security unless such has been waived or modified voluntarily;
- disclose all payments to creditors for pre-commencement debts made during the proceedings and the justifications thereof;
- describe the disputed claims and the provisioning of funds to account for appropriate payments should the claim be ruled valid or its amount adjusted;
- identify the debtor's role in the implementation of the plan;
- state any rehabilitation covenants of the debtor, the breach of which shall be considered a material breach of the plan;
- identify those responsible for the future management of the debtor and the supervision and implementation of the plan, their affiliation with the debtor and their remuneration;
- address the treatment of claims arising after the confirmation of the rehabilitation plan;
- require the debtor and its counterparties to adhere to the terms of all contracts that the debtor has chosen to confirm;
- arrange for the payment of all outstanding administrative expenses as a condition to the plan's approval unless such condition has been waived in writing by the creditors concerned;
- arrange for the payment of all outstanding taxes and assessments, or an adjusted amount pursuant to a compromise settlement with the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) or other applicable tax authorities;
- include a certified copy of a certificate of tax clearance or evidence of a compromise settlement with the BIR;
- include a valid and binding resolution of a meeting of the debtor's stockholders to increase the shares by the required amount in cases where the plan contemplates an additional issuance of shares by the debtor;
- state the compensation and status, if any, of the rehabilitation receiver after the approval of the plan; and
- contain provisions for conciliation and/or mediation as a prerequisite to court assistance or intervention in the event of any disagreement in the interpretation or implementation of the rehabilitation plan.

Classification of creditors

The FRIA does not expressly provide for the criteria to be utilised in classifying creditors for purposes of the rehabilitation plan. However, section 42 of the FRIA recognises several classes of creditors, namely:

- secured creditors;
- unsecured creditors;
- trade creditors and suppliers; and
- employees of the debtor.

The above-mentioned list does not exhaust all possible classification of creditors, and the rehabilitation plan may establish other classes of voting creditors (FRIA section 62(d)).

Process for approval of rehabilitation plan

The process for approval of a rehabilitation plan depends on the nature of the rehabilitation proceeding, in particular:

- court-supervised rehabilitation;
- pre-negotiated rehabilitation; and
- out-of-court or informal restructuring agreements or rehabilitation plans.

Court-supervised rehabilitation

Pursuant to section 64 of the FRIA, the rehabilitation receiver shall notify the creditors and stakeholders for the examination of the rehabilitation plan. Within 20 days from notification, the rehabilitation receiver shall convene the creditors for purposes of voting on the approval of the rehabilitation plan (FRIA section 64). The rehabilitation plan must be approved by all classes of creditors whose rights are adversely affected by the said plan. The rehabilitation plan is deemed to have been approved by a class of creditors if members of the class holding more than 50 per cent of the total claims of the class vote for the approval of the rehabilitation plan (FRIA section 64).

If the rehabilitation plan is approved, the plan shall be submitted to the court for confirmation (FRIA section 65). An objection may be filed by a creditor within 20 days from receipt of the court notice regarding the submission of the rehabilitation plan for confirmation (FRIA section 66). The court shall confirm the rehabilitation plan '[i]f no objections are filed within the relevant period or, if objections are filed, the court finds them lacking in merit, or determines that the basis for the objection has been cured, or determines that the debtor has complied with an order to cure the objection' (FRIA section 68).

Pre-negotiated rehabilitation

Upon the determination that the petition for the approval of a pre-negotiated rehabilitation plan is sufficient in form and substance, the court shall issue an order which shall, among other things, state that copies of the petition and the rehabilitation plan are available for examination and copying by any interested party (FRIA section 77(f)). The court shall approve the rehabilitation plan within 10 days from the date of the second publication of the order, unless an objection is filed by a creditor or other interested party (FRIA section 78). The rehabilitation plan shall be deemed approved upon a court determination that the objection has no substantial merit, or that the same has been fixed (FRIA section 80).

Moreover, the court shall have a maximum period of 120 days from the date of the filing of the petition to approve the rehabilitation plan. The rehabilitation plan shall be deemed approved in the event that the court does not act within the given period (FRIA section 81).

Out-of-court or informal restructuring agreements or rehabilitation plans

Pursuant to section 84 of the FRIA, an out-of-court or informal restructuring or workout agreement or rehabilitation plan shall qualify under the FRIA provided the following minimum requirements are satisfied:

- the debtor must agree to the out-of-court or informal restructuring or workout agreement or rehabilitation plan;
- it must be approved by creditors representing at least 67 per cent of the secured obligations of the debtor;
- it must be approved by creditors representing at least 75 per cent of the unsecured obligations of the debtor; and
- it must be approved by creditors holding at least 85 per cent of the total liabilities, secured and unsecured, of the debtor.

Release of non-debtor parties

The FRIA does not expressly provide for the release of non-debtor parties from liability and the circumstances for such release. It must be noted, however, that the rehabilitation plan must aim to restore 'the financial well-being and viability of an insolvent debtor' (FRIA section 4(ii)).

21 Expedited reorganisations

Do procedures exist for expedited reorganisations?

The FRIA does not expressly provide for expedited reorganisations or rehabilitations. It does, however, recognise and permit pre-negotiated rehabilitation plans. (See discussion in question 9 on voluntary reorganisations.)

22 Unsuccessful reorganisations

How is a proposed reorganisation defeated and what is the effect of a reorganisation plan not being approved? What if the debtor fails to perform a plan?

According to section 74 of the FRIA, the following instances constitute failure of rehabilitation:

- dismissal of the petition by the court;
- the debtor fails to submit a rehabilitation plan;
- under the rehabilitation plan submitted by the debtor, there is no substantial likelihood that the debtor can be rehabilitated within a reasonable period;
- the rehabilitation plan or its amendment is approved by the court but in the implementation thereof, the debtor fails to perform its obligations thereunder, or there is a failure to realise the objectives, targets or goals set forth therein, including the timelines and conditions for the settlement of the obligations due to the creditors and other claimants;
- the commission of fraud in securing the approval of the rehabilitation plan or its amendment; and
- other analogous circumstances as may be defined by the rules of procedure.

Section 74 of the FRIA further provides that the court, upon breach or failure of the rehabilitation plan, may perform the following:

- issue an order directing that the breach be cured within a specified period of time, failing which the proceedings may be converted to a liquidation;
- issue an order converting the proceedings to a liquidation;
- allow the debtor or rehabilitation receiver to submit amendments to the rehabilitation plan, the approval of which shall be governed by the same requirements for the approval of a rehabilitation plan;
- issue any other order to remedy the breach consistent with the present regulation, other applicable law and the best interests of the creditors; or
- enforce the applicable provisions of the rehabilitation plan through a writ of execution.

23 Insolvency processes

During an insolvency case, what notices are given to creditors? What meetings are held? How are meetings called? What information regarding the administration of the estate, its assets and the claims against it is available to creditors or creditors' committees? What are insolvency administrators' reporting obligations? May creditors pursue the estate's remedies against third parties?

In the event that a petition or motion for liquidation is sufficient in form and substance, the court shall issue a liquidation order (FRIA section 112). The liquidation order directs, among others, the publication of the petition or motion for liquidation in a newspaper of general circulation once a week for two consecutive weeks (FRIA section 112(d)). Furthermore, the liquidation order directs all creditors to file their claims with the liquidator within the period set by the rules of procedure (FRIA section 112(g)).

The FRIA does not expressly provide for the holding of meetings and the manner of calling meetings during liquidation proceedings.

It is the duty of the liquidator to prepare a registry of claims of secured and unsecured creditors, and to make such registry available for public inspection. The liquidator must also inform, through publication notice, the creditors and stakeholders of the debtor/individual debtor of the place and time for inspection of the registry of claims (FRIA section 123).

Furthermore, it is the duty of the liquidator to make and keep a record of all monies received and all disbursements made by him or under his authority as liquidator (FRIA section 121). The liquidator shall also render a quarterly report thereof to the court, which report

shall be made available to all interested parties (FRIA section 121). The liquidator shall also submit such reports as may be required by the court from time to time as well as a final report at the end of the liquidation proceedings (FRIA section 121).

A creditor may, with the consent of the liquidator, initiate and prosecute an action for rescission or declaration of nullity of transactions entered into by the debtor or involving its assets in order to defraud creditors or give undue preference to creditors (FRIA sections 127 and 128). The action may be instituted with leave of court if the liquidator does not consent to its filing or prosecution (FRIA section 128).

24 Enforcement of estate's rights

If the insolvency administrator has no assets to pursue a claim, may the creditors pursue the estate's remedies? If so, to whom do the fruits of the remedies belong?

Under the FRIA, only the rehabilitation receiver or liquidator is entitled to sue and recover, with approval of the court, properties pertaining to the debtor (sections 31(f) and 119(a)). An exception is the action for rescission or declaration of nullity of transactions entered into by the debtor or involving its assets in order to defraud creditors or give undue preference to creditors which, as discussed in item 23 above, a creditor may initiate and prosecute, with the consent of the liquidator or with leave of court. Having said that, the Civil Code allows creditors to exercise all the debtor's rights and bring all actions of the latter to satisfy their claims (called *accion subrogatoria*; article 1177). This is pertinent in liquidation proceedings of an individual debtor who is liable with all his property, present and future, for the fulfilment of his obligations (Civil Code article 2236) – that is, even after the termination of liquidation proceedings. The fruits of the remedies will belong to the creditors to the extent of their credit.

25 Creditor representation

What committees can be formed (or representative counsel appointed) and what powers or responsibilities do they have? How are they selected and appointed? May they retain advisers and how are their expenses funded?

With respect to rehabilitation, a class of creditors may establish a committee (FRIA section 42). Furthermore, a creditors' committee may be established by all the creditors acting as a body, and a representative from each class of creditors shall be a member of the creditors' committee (FRIA section 42). The representative from each class shall be elected, and the rehabilitation receiver or his representative shall assist in the said election (FRIA section 42).

It shall be the duty of the creditors' committee to assist the rehabilitation receiver in communicating with the creditors and shall be the principal liaison between the rehabilitation receiver and the creditors (FRIA section 43). However, the creditors' committee does not have the authority to exercise or waive any right or give any consent on behalf of any creditor, unless specifically authorised in writing by such creditor (FRIA section 43). The court or the rehabilitation receiver may assign other tasks to the creditors' committee to facilitate the rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 43).

As regards liquidation, the liquidator may recommend to the court the creation of a creditors' committee which will assist him in the discharge of his functions (FRIA section 119(g)). The creditors' committee shall have such powers as the court may deem just, reasonable and necessary (FRIA section 119(g)).

The expenses of the creditors' committee incurred in the performance of its duties may be considered as administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are paid as they become due, pursuant to the commencement order in a rehabilitation proceeding or the liquidation order (FRIA sections 16 and 112.) The FRIA does not expressly provide for the retention of advisers by the creditors' committee.

26 Insolvency of corporate groups

In insolvency proceedings involving a corporate group, are the proceedings by the parent and its subsidiaries combined for administrative purposes? May the assets and liabilities of the companies be pooled for distribution purposes? May assets be transferred from an administration in your country to an administration in another country?

With respect to rehabilitation, a group of debtors may jointly file a petition for rehabilitation (FRIA section 12). Section 4(n) of the FRIA specifically defines a group of debtors as strictly referring to any of the following:

- corporations that are financially related to one another as parent corporations, subsidiaries or affiliates;
- partnerships that are owned more than 50 per cent by the same person; or
- single proprietorships that are owned by the same person.

The petition for rehabilitation may be filed by a group of debtors when one or more of its members foresee the impossibility of meeting debts when they respectively fall due, and the financial distress would be likely to adversely affect the financial condition and/or operations of the other members of the group and/or the participation of the other members of the group is essential under the terms and conditions of the proposed rehabilitation plan (FRIA section 12).

As a general rule, the debtor's assets and liabilities cannot be commingled or aggregated with those of another entity (FRIA section 7). However, the commingling or aggregation of assets is permitted if the other entity is a related enterprise which is directly or indirectly owned or controlled by the same interests (FRIA section 7). The commingling and aggregation must satisfy the following requisites:

- there was commingling in fact of assets and liabilities of the debtor and the related enterprise prior to the commencement of the proceedings;
- the debtor and the related enterprise have common creditors and it will be more convenient to treat them together rather than separately;
- the related enterprise voluntarily accedes to join the debtor as party petitioner and to commingle its assets and liabilities with the debtor's; and
- the consolidation of assets and liabilities of the debtor and the related enterprise is beneficial to all concerned and promotes the objectives of rehabilitation (FRIA section 7).

The FRIA does not expressly provide for the transfer of assets from an administration in this jurisdiction to an administration in another jurisdiction. It should be noted, however, that the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency has been adopted as part of the FRIA (FRIA section 139). Furthermore, section 141(d) of the FRIA states that the court may issue an order requiring the surrender of property of a foreign entity located in this jurisdiction to its foreign representative, in relation to an insolvency or rehabilitation proceeding in another jurisdiction.

With respect to liquidation, the FRIA does not expressly provide for the filing of a petition for liquidation by a group of debtors.

27 Claims and appeals

How is a creditor's claim submitted and what are the time limits? How are claims disallowed and how does a creditor appeal? Are there provisions on the transfer of claims? Must transfers be disclosed and are there any restrictions on transferred claims? Can claims for contingent or unliquidated amounts be recognised? How are the amounts of such claims determined?

Creditor claims are initially submitted to the court through the petition for rehabilitation or liquidation which contains a schedule of the debtor's liabilities (for an out-of-court restructuring agreement,

the claims are normally contained in the agreement itself). For court-supervised rehabilitation or liquidation, the rehabilitation receiver or liquidator is given 20 days from his appointment to accept applications for recognition of claims and establish a preliminary registry of claims (FRIA sections 44, 123 and 125). After 30 days from the expiry of such period, interested parties may challenge such claims (FRIA sections 45 and 125). The rehabilitation receiver or liquidator may disallow claims and a creditor may appeal the disallowance to the court (note that, in liquidation, there is no express provision for an appeal for disallowance, but it is still allowed under general procedural law; FRIA sections 46 and 126; the Judiciary Reorganisation Act of 1980 or Batas Pambansa Blg 129 section 39).

There are no provisions on transfer of creditor claims under the FRIA. There are also no disclosure requirements or restrictions for credit transfer during insolvency under general laws (Civil Code articles 1300 to 1304 and articles 1624 to 1635). Having said that, an assignee of a creditor's claims will necessarily have to disclose the transfer if it wishes to be substituted for the creditor in the insolvency proceedings. Contingent or unliquidated claims can be recognised by the rehabilitation receiver or liquidator (FRIA section 4(c) in relation to sections 44 and 123). The amounts of such claims are determined based on the evidence given by the creditors in their application for recognition (FRIA sections 44 and 123).

28 Modifying creditors' rights

May the court change the rank of a creditor's claim? If so, what are the grounds for doing so and how frequently does this occur?

Generally, the court cannot change the priority of claims in rehabilitation or liquidation. This can only be done through a waiver of preference by the creditor. In rehabilitation cases, however, the priority of claims may be modified through confirmation of a rehabilitation plan which binds the debtor and all participating creditors (FRIA section 69). While generally the rehabilitation plan requires approval of creditors (holding more than 50 per cent of the total claims), the court can nonetheless confirm the rehabilitation plan (despite creditor rejection) if all of the following circumstances are present:

- the rehabilitation plan complies with the requirements specified in the FRIA;
- the rehabilitation receiver recommends the confirmation of the rehabilitation plan;
- the shareholders, owners or partners of the juridical debtor lose at least their controlling interest as a result of the rehabilitation plan; and
- the rehabilitation plan would likely provide the objecting class of creditors with compensation which has a net present value greater than that which they would have received if the debtor were under liquidation (FRIA section 64).

29 Priority claims

Apart from employee-related claims, what are the major privileged and priority claims in liquidations and reorganisations? Which have priority over secured creditors?

Philippine law classifies credits into three general categories, namely:

- special preferred credits (Civil Code articles 2241 and 2242);
- ordinary preferred credits (Civil Code article 2244); and
- common credits (Civil Code article 2245).

The special and ordinary credits are the major privileged and priority claims in liquidations and reorganisations (FRIA section 133). The special preferred credits are the highest priority claims that attach as liens or encumbrances on the specific moveable or immovable property to which they relate. Moreover, they are considered as 'mortgages or pledges of real or personal property, or liens within the purview of legal provisions governing insolvency' (Civil Code

article 2243). With reference to specific moveable property, they are the following:

- duties, taxes and fees due thereon to the state or any subdivision thereof;
- claims arising from misappropriation, breach of trust, or malfeasance by public officials committed in the performance of their duties, on the moveables, money or securities obtained by them;
- claims for the unpaid price of moveables sold, on said moveables, so long as they are in the possession of the debtor, up to the value of the same; and if the moveable has been resold by the debtor and the price is still unpaid, the lien may be enforced on the price; this right is not lost by the immobilisation of the thing by destination, provided it has not lost its form, substance and identity; neither is the right lost by the sale of the thing together with other property for a lump sum, when the price thereof can be determined proportionally;
- credits guaranteed with a pledge so long as the things pledged are in the hands of the creditor, or those guaranteed by a chattel mortgage, upon the things pledged or mortgaged, up to the value thereof;
- credits for the making, repair, safekeeping or preservation of personal property, on the moveable thus made, repaired, kept or possessed;
- claims for labourers' wages, on the goods manufactured or the work done;
- for expenses of salvage, upon the goods salvaged;
- credits between the landlord and the tenant, arising from the contract of tenancy on shares, on the share of each in the fruits or harvest;
- credits for transport, upon the goods carried, for the price of the contract and incidental expenses, until their delivery and for 30 days thereafter;
- credits for lodging and supplies usually furnished to travellers by hotel keepers, on the moveables belonging to the guest as long as such moveables are in the hotel, but not for money loaned to the guests;
- credits for seeds and expenses for cultivation and harvest advanced to the debtor, upon the fruits harvested;
- credits for rent for one year, upon the personal property of the lessee existing on the immovable leased and on the fruits of the same, but not on money or instruments of credit; and
- claims in favour of the depositor if the depositary has wrongfully sold the thing deposited, upon the price of the sale (Civil Code article 2241).

With respect to specific immovable property and real rights, they are the following:

- taxes due upon the land or building;
- for the unpaid price of real property sold, upon the immovable sold;
- claims of labourers, masons, mechanics and other workmen, as well as of architects, engineers and contractors, engaged in the construction, reconstruction or repair of buildings, canals or other works, upon said buildings, canals or other works;
- claims of furnishers of materials used in the construction, reconstruction, or repair of buildings, canals or other works, upon said buildings, canals or other works;
- mortgage credits recorded in the registry of property, upon the real estate mortgaged;
- expenses for the preservation or improvement of real property when the law authorises reimbursement, upon the immovable preserved or improved;
- credits annotated in the registry of property, in virtue of a judicial order, by attachments or executions, upon the property affected, and only as to later credits;

- claims of co-heirs for warranty in the partition of an immovable among them, upon the real property thus divided;
- claims of donors or real property for pecuniary charges or other conditions imposed upon the donee, upon the immovable donated; and
- credits of insurers, upon the property insured, for the insurance premium for two years (Civil Code article 2242).

The enumeration above does not establish an order of preference. Such claims concur and are satisfied on a prorated basis (Civil Code article 2247). For special preferred credits, there is a two-tier order of preference: the first tier includes only taxes, duties and fees due to the Philippine state, and the second tier includes all other special preferred credits which are to be satisfied, *pari passu* and *pro rata*, out of any residual value of the specific property (ie, net of the taxes, duties and fees due to the Philippine state) (*Republic v Peralta*, GR No. 56568, 20 May 1987; *Development Bank of the Philippines v National Labor Relations Commission*, GR No. 86227, 19 January 1994). Thus only taxes, duties and fees due to the Philippine state will have priority over secured creditors. As a special case, if the debtor is a securities market participant, trade-related claims of its customers are deemed to have absolute priority over all other claims of whatever nature or kind in so far as trade-related assets are concerned (FRIA section 136).

Unlike special preferred credits, ordinary preferred credits create no liens that attach to determinate property. Ordinary preferred credits only create rights in favour of certain creditors out of the ‘free property’ of the insolvent debtor (ie, the residual value of the debtor’s assets when the special preferred credits are satisfied) in the order below:

- credits for services rendered the insolvent debtor by employees, labourers or household helpers for one year (as modified by article 110 of the Labour Code or Presidential Decree No. 442; *Republic v Peralta*);
- proper funeral expenses for the debtor, or children under his or her parental authority who have no property of their own, when approved by the court;
- expenses during the last illness of the debtor or of his or her spouse and children under his or her parental authority, if they have no property of their own;
- compensation due the labourers or their dependants under laws providing for indemnity for damages in cases of labour accident, or illness resulting from the nature of the employment;
- credits and advancements made to the debtor for support of himself or herself, and family, during the last year preceding the insolvency;
- support during the insolvency proceedings, and for three months thereafter;
- fines and civil indemnification arising from a criminal offence;
- legal expenses, and expenses incurred in the administration of the insolvent’s estate for the common interest of the creditors, when properly authorised and approved by the court;
- taxes and assessments due to the national government, other than those mentioned in the Civil Code articles 2241(1) and 2242(1) (on special preferred credits);
- taxes and assessments due to any province, other than those referred to in the Civil Code articles 2241(1) and 2242(1) (on special preferred credits);
- taxes and assessments due to any city or municipality, other than those indicated in the Civil Code articles 2241(1) and 2242(1) (on special preferred credits);
- damages for death or personal injuries caused by a quasi-delict;
- gifts due to public and private institutions of charity or beneficence; and

- credits that, without special privilege, appear in a public instrument or in a final judgment, if they have been the subject of litigation. These credits shall have preference among themselves in the order of priority of the dates of the instruments and of the judgments, respectively.

Common credits are the ones that do not enjoy preference and are satisfied *pro rata* regardless of dates (Civil Code article 2251 in relation to article 2245).

30 Employment-related liabilities in restructurings

What employee claims arise where employees are terminated during a restructuring or liquidation? What are the procedures for termination?

When employees are terminated in restructurings that are not due to ‘serious business losses or financial reverses’, the terminated employees are entitled to separation pay equivalent to one month’s pay or at least one-half month’s pay for every year of service, whichever is higher (Labor Code section 283). However, if the termination is due to the employer’s insolvency or liquidation (ie, due to serious business losses or financial reverses), the terminated employees are not entitled to separation pay (*Republic v Peralta; Cama et al v Joni’s Food Services Inc*, GR No. 153021, 10 March 2004). During the employer’s bankruptcy or liquidation, employee claims for wages and other monetary claims enjoy the highest priority as an ordinary preferred credit (see question 29; *Republic v Peralta*).

Termination is achieved by serving a written notice both on the workers and the Philippine Department of Labor and Employment at least one month before the intended date of termination.

31 Liabilities that survive insolvency proceedings

Do any liabilities of a debtor survive an insolvency or a reorganisation?

For a juridical debtor, no liabilities survive because the insolvent partnership or corporation is automatically dissolved upon liquidation (FRIA sections 113(a), 134 and 135). Claims that survive in rehabilitation proceedings due to failure of rehabilitation or dismissal of the petition for rehabilitation are automatically carried over to liquidation proceedings and are therefore extinguished upon termination of the liquidation proceedings (FRIA section 75).

32 Distributions

How and when are distributions made to creditors in liquidations and reorganisations?

Distributions are made to creditors pursuant to a rehabilitation or liquidation plan confirmed by the court or a duly approved out-of-court restructuring agreement (approved by the debtor and the creditors). Under the FRIA, the court is given one year from the filing of the petition to confirm a court-supervised rehabilitation plan (section 72) and 120 days for pre-negotiated rehabilitation (section 81); no period is mentioned under the statute for the approval of the liquidation plan. Once the rehabilitation or liquidation plan is confirmed or approved, the distribution may proceed in accordance thereto.

33 Transactions that may be annulled

What transactions can be annulled or set aside in liquidations and reorganisations and what are the grounds? What is the result of a transaction being annulled?

Transactions before or during rehabilitation or liquidation proceedings which are entered into in fraud of creditors may be rescinded or declared null and void (FRIA, sections 52, 58 and 127; Civil Code articles 1177, 1381(3) and 1382). There is a disputable presumption that the transactions were done with intent to defraud creditors if they:

- provide unreasonably inadequate consideration to the debtor and are executed within 90 days prior to the commencement date of the rehabilitation or liquidation;
- involve an accelerated payment of a claim to a creditor within 90 days prior to the commencement date of the rehabilitation or liquidation;
- provide security or additional security executed within 90 days prior to the commencement date of the rehabilitation or liquidation;
- involve creditors, where a creditor obtained, or received the benefit of, more than its pro rata share in the assets of the debtor, executed at a time when the debtor was insolvent; or
- are intended to defeat, delay or hinder the ability of the creditors to collect claims where the effect of the transaction is to put assets of the debtor beyond the reach of creditors or to otherwise prejudice the interests of creditors (FRIA sections 58 and 127).

Moreover, in rehabilitation proceedings, the court can rescind transactions entered into after the commencement of the rehabilitation if they are not in the ordinary course of business of the debtor (FRIA section 52).

Rescission creates the obligation to return the things which were the object of the contract, together with their fruits, and the price with its interest. Rescission, however, cannot take place when the things which are the object of the contract are legally in the possession of third persons who did not act in bad faith. In this case, indemnity for damages may be demanded from the person causing the loss (Civil Code article 1385).

34 Proceedings to annul transactions

Does your country use the concept of a 'suspect period' in determining whether to annul a transaction by an insolvent debtor? May voidable transactions be attacked by creditors or only by a liquidator or trustee? May they be attacked in a reorganisation or a suspension of payments or only in a liquidation?

The suspect period may be said to be 90 days from the commencement of the rehabilitation or liquidation proceedings. Transactions during this period are disputably presumed to have been done in fraud of creditors, or to constitute undue preference of creditors, and thus may be rescinded or declared null and void. (See question 33.) The rescissible transactions may be challenged by both the rehabilitation receiver or liquidator and the creditors with the conformity of the rehabilitation receiver or liquidator. If the latter do not consent to the filing of such action, any creditor may seek leave of court to commence the rescissory action (FRIA sections 59 and 128).

35 Directors and officers

Are corporate officers and directors liable for their corporation's obligations? Are they liable for pre-bankruptcy actions by their companies? Can they be subject to sanctions for other reasons?

Philippine law recognises the limited liability of corporations subject to the doctrine of piercing the corporate veil. Thus individual directors and officers are generally not liable for their corporation's obligations unless it is proved that they are using the corporate personality 'to defeat public convenience, justify wrong, protect fraud, or defend crime' (*Suldao v Cimech System Construction*, GR No. 171392, 30 October 2006). This is generally true for pre-bankruptcy actions by the company.

Under the FRIA, directors and officers of a debtor shall be liable if they, having notice of the commencement of the proceedings, or having reason to believe that proceedings are about to be commenced, or in contemplation of the proceedings, wilfully commit the following acts:

- dispose or cause to be disposed of any property of the debtor other than in the ordinary course of business or authorise or

- approve any transaction in fraud of creditors or in a manner grossly disadvantageous to the debtor or creditors; or
- conceal, or authorise or approve the concealment, from the creditors, or embezzles or misappropriates, any property of the debtor (FRIA section 10).

Moreover, directors who wilfully and knowingly vote for or assent to patently unlawful acts of the corporation, or who are guilty of gross negligence or bad faith in directing the affairs of the corporation, or acquire any personal or pecuniary interest in conflict with their duties as directors or trustees, shall be liable jointly and severally for all damages resulting therefrom suffered by the corporation, its stockholders or members and other persons (Corporation Code section 31).

36 Creditors' enforcement

Are there processes by which some or all of the assets of a business may be seized outside of court proceedings? How are these processes carried out?

Before rehabilitation or liquidation proceedings, if the debtor's assets are encumbered and the security contract (eg, mortgage) provides for an extrajudicial foreclosure (coupled with a special power of attorney in favour of the creditor for the purpose of foreclosure), the creditor may take physical possession of the encumbered assets and foreclose them without court proceedings. Under Philippine law, the contracting parties can stipulate in their contract that in the case of default or non-payment of the debt the creditor may foreclose by selling the asset at a private sale, without previous advertisement or notice for the purpose of applying the proceeds thereof to the payment of the debt (*Philippine National Bank v Manila Investment & Construction Inc*, GR No. L-27132, 29 April 1971; *Philippine National Bank v De Poli*, GR No. 19026, 3 April 1923).

However, during rehabilitation or liquidation, foreclosures are stayed unless lifted by the court in rehabilitation proceedings (FRIA section 21) or after the expiry of 120 days from the liquidation order in liquidation proceedings (FRIA section 113(e)). Once the stay is lifted or has expired, creditors can seize the encumbered assets for the purposes of foreclosure outside of court proceedings.

37 Corporate procedures

Are there corporate procedures for the liquidation or dissolution of a corporation? How do such processes contrast with bankruptcy proceedings?

Yes. Under the Corporation Code, two sets of procedure govern voluntary dissolution depending on the effect on creditors. If no creditors are prejudiced by the dissolution, it may be done through a majority vote of the board of directors of the corporation, and a stockholders' resolution authorising the dissolution adopted by two-thirds of the outstanding capital stock. A copy of the resolution (certified by the board of directors and countersigned by the secretary of the corporation) is sent to the Philippine Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC will then issue the certificate of dissolution (Corporation Code section 118).

If creditors are affected, voluntary dissolution must be done through a petition filed with the SEC. The petition is signed by a majority of the board of directors or other officers having the management of its affairs, verified by its president or secretary or one of its directors or trustees, and shall set forth all claims and demands against it, and state that its dissolution was resolved upon by the two-thirds affirmative vote of the stockholders of the corporation. The SEC issues an order reciting the purpose of the petition and sets a period to receive objections from any interested party. Before such hearing, the SEC order is published at least once a week for three consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality or city where the principal office of the corporation is

situated, or if there be no such newspaper, then in a newspaper of general circulation in the Philippines, and a similar copy shall be posted for three consecutive weeks in three public places in such municipality or city.

Upon five days' notice, given after the date on which the right to file objections as fixed in the order has expired, the SEC shall proceed to hear the petition and try any issue made by the objections filed; and if no such objection is sufficient, and the material allegations of the petition are true, the SEC shall render judgment dissolving the corporation and directing such disposition of its assets, and may appoint a receiver to collect such assets and pay the debts of the corporation (Corporation Code section 118).

Voluntary dissolution may also be done by shortening of the corporate term. This merely involves amending the articles of incorporation to shorten the corporate term. A copy of the amended articles of incorporation is submitted to the SEC. Upon approval of the amended articles of incorporation, the corporation shall be deemed dissolved without any further proceedings (Corporation Code section 120).

Thus, while rehabilitation and liquidation are under the jurisdiction of the courts (FRIA section 4(g)), corporate liquidation is under the jurisdiction of the SEC (Corporation Code, sections 117 to 122; Presidential Decree No. 902-A). An important difference between the two is the automatic stay of claims in the rehabilitation or liquidation, which is not present in corporate liquidation.

38 Conclusion of case

How are liquidation and reorganisation cases formally concluded?

Rehabilitation proceedings are formally concluded through a court order (initiated by motion by any stockholder or the rehabilitation receiver), either declaring a successful implementation of the rehabilitation plan or a failure of rehabilitation (FRIA section 74).

Liquidation proceedings are formally concluded through a court order terminating the proceedings upon:

- determination that the liquidation has been completed according to the FRIA and other applicable laws; and
- evidence showing that the juridical debtor has been removed from the registry of legal entities in the Philippine Securities and Exchange Commission (FRIA sections 134 to 135).

39 International cases

What recognition or relief is available concerning an insolvency proceeding in another country? How are foreign creditors dealt with in liquidations and reorganisations? Are foreign judgments or orders recognised and in what circumstances? Is your country a signatory to a treaty on international insolvency or on the recognition of foreign judgments? Has the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency been adopted or is it under consideration in your country?

In cross-border insolvency proceedings, insolvency courts in the Philippines can grant any necessary relief including those granted under the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency and orders:

- suspending any action to enforce claims against the entity or otherwise seize or foreclose on property of the foreign entity located in the Philippines; or
- requiring the surrender of property of the foreign entity to the foreign representative (FRIA section 141).

The FRIA expressly adopts the UNCITRAL Model Law, subject only to section 136 of the FRIA (on liquidation of a securities market participant) and the rules of procedure that may be adopted by the Supreme Court (FRIA section 139). Accordingly, the treatment of foreign creditors in liquidations and reorganisations is based on the model law, which provides them direct access to Philippine courts (UNCITRAL Model Law chapter II).

A foreign court judgment or final order (foreign judgment) may be enforced in the Philippines through a petition to be filed with the appropriate regional trial court with territorial jurisdiction over either the plaintiff or the defendant, at the election of the plaintiff.

The effect of a judgment or final order of a tribunal of a foreign country, having jurisdiction to render the judgment or final order is as follows:

- in case of a judgment or final order upon a specific object, the judgment or final order is conclusive upon the title to the object; and
- in case of a judgment or final order against a person, the judgment or final order is presumptive evidence of a right as between the parties and their successors in interest by a subsequent title.

The foreign judgment may be repelled by evidence of lack of jurisdiction, want of notice to the party, collusion, fraud, or clear mistake of law or fact. (Rule 39, section 48 of the 1997 Rules of Civil Procedure) In addition, the defendant may claim that the foreign judgment is contrary to morals and public policy.

To date, the Philippines is not signatory to any other treaty on international insolvency or on the recognition of foreign judgments. Nonetheless, Philippine case law recognises that while there is no

**SYCIP
SALAZAR
& HERNANDEZ
& GATMAITAN**

**Ricardo Ma PG Ongkiko
Anthony W Dee
Russel L Rodriguez**

**rmpgongkiko@syciplaw.com
awdee@syciplaw.com
rlrodriguez@syciplaw.com**

SyCipLaw Center, 105 Paseo de Roxas
Makati City
1226 Metro Manila
Philippines

Tel: +63 2 9823500 / 9823600
Fax: +63 2 8173896 / 8173567
sshg@syciplaw.com
www.syciplaw.com

obligatory rule derived from treaties or conventions that require the Philippines to recognise foreign judgments, or allow the enforcement thereof, these are recognised pursuant to generally accepted principles of international law, which under the Constitution form part of Philippine laws. (See, *Mijares v Javier*, GR No. 139325, 12 April, 2005.)

40 Cross-border cooperation

Does your country's system allow cooperation between domestic and foreign courts and domestic and foreign insolvency administrators in cross-border insolvencies and restructurings? Have courts in your country refused to recognise foreign proceedings or to cooperate with foreign courts?

The FRIA does not prohibit cooperation between domestic and foreign courts and domestic and foreign insolvency administrators in cross-border insolvencies and restructurings. However, the FRIA

(which adopted the UNCITRAL Model Law) was only recently enacted in 2010. Thus, there are no known examples yet of such cooperation under the FRIA.

41 Cross-border insolvency protocols and joint court hearings

In cross-border cases, have the courts in your country entered into cross-border insolvency protocols or other arrangements to coordinate proceedings with courts in other countries? Have courts in your country communicated or held joint hearings with courts in other countries in cross-border cases? If so, with which other countries?

We are not aware of any cross-border insolvency protocols or other arrangements that the Philippines may have entered into with other countries. We are also not aware of Philippine courts communicating with or holding joint hearings with courts in other countries in cross-border cases.

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®

Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Anti-Money Laundering	Mediation
Arbitration	Merger Control
Asset Recovery	Mergers & Acquisitions
Banking Regulation	Mining
Cartel Regulation	Oil Regulation
Climate Regulation	Patents
Construction	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Copyright	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Governance	Private Client
Corporate Immigration	Private Equity
Data Protection	Product Liability
Dispute Resolution	Product Recall
Dominance	Project Finance
e-Commerce	Public Procurement
Electricity Regulation	Real Estate
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Restructuring & Insolvency
Environment	Right of Publicity
Foreign Investment Review	Securities Finance
Franchise	Shipbuilding
Gas Regulation	Shipping
Insurance & Reinsurance	Tax on Inbound Investment
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Telecoms and Media
Labour & Employment	Trade & Customs
	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:**
www.GettingTheDealThrough.com



Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association